

AUDIO_BEACON_FEN_OFH2_SESSION 1_11.11.25

Wed, Nov 12, 2025 10:04AM • 38:39

00:05

Good afternoon, everyone. It is now three o'clock and it's time for this hearing to begin. I would like to welcome you all to this open floor hearing two for the beacon fan energy Park project. Can I just confirm that everybody can hear me clearly? Yes. Thank you very much. Can I also confirm with production 78 that live streaming and recording of this event has commenced? Yes. Thank you very much

00:30

for those people watching the live stream. Can I also advise that, should we at any point adjourn proceedings this afternoon, will have to stop the live stream in order to give us clear recording files as a result. At the point at which we recommend the meeting and restart the live stream, you'll need to refresh your browser page to view the restarted stream. Please note this should. Please note this should. We need to adjourn. My name is Andre Pinto. I am a charter town planner employed by the planning inspectorate, and I have been appointed, but Secretary of State for housing, communities and local government as a single examining inspector to examine this application, I'll be reporting to Secretary of State for energy security net zero with a recommendation as to whether the development consent order should be made. You'll also hear me being referred to as the examining authority. The case manager for this project is Noel magloom. Noelle is being supported today by Stephen Parker and Simon raywood. If you have any queries about the examination process or the technology we are using for virtual events, they should be your first point of contact. The contact details can be found at the top of any letter you have received from us, on the project page of the national infrastructure website.

01:47

I will now deal with a few housekeeping matters. So can everyone please make sure that all of the devices and phones are set to silence? Please.

01:58

Also, I would like to clarify that the closest facilities

02:04

are outside of this room to the right, and there are no fire evacuation tests planned for today. So Should a fire alarm sound, please make your way to the nearest fire exit door using the fire doors marked in this room and head outside fire evacuation assembly point is to the front of the building in the car park.

02:27

Today is a hybrid event, meaning that some of you are present with us at hearing venue, and some of you are joining us virtually, using Microsoft Teams

02:37

for those people observing or participating through teams. Can you please make sure that you stay muted unless you are speaking, if you are participating virtually and you wish to speak at the relevant point of proceedings, please use your hand up function. Please be patient, as we may not get you immediately, but we'll invite you to speak at an appropriate time. I will also make sure that however you decide to attend today, you'll be given a fair opportunity to participate. Are there any questions on what I have set out so far? Please raise your hands if there are any questions virtually or in the room.

03:11

I don't see any hands raised, so I purposely I'll continue.

03:15

In addition to the live stream, a recording of today's hearing will be made available on the beacon fan energy Park section of the national infrastructure planning website. As soon as practicable after the hearing has finished, with this in mind, please ensure that you speak clearly into a microphone, stating your name and who you are representing each time before you speak. If you are not at a table with a microphone. There is a roving microphone, so please wait for one of those to be brought to you before you speak.

03:46

If you are attending virtually and don't want your image to be recorded, you can switch off your camera. For those in the room that do not want to be recorded, there is an area right at the back of the room where where you'll be out of camera shots. So please use that area, because the digital recordings that we are, that we make, are retained and published. They form a public record that can contain your personal information and to which the general data protection regulations apply only in the rarest of circumstances. Might we ask you to provide personal information of the type that most of us would prefer to keep private or confidential, therefore, to avoid the need to edit the digital recordings, please try not to add information to the public record that you would not wish to divulge and wish to keep private or that is confidential. If you feel that personal information is necessary, please provide this in a written document that we can redact before publication. The planning inspectorates practice is to retain and publish recordings for a period of five years from the Secretary of State's decision a

04:55

link to the planning inspectorates privacy notice was in the rule six letter.

05:00

Data alongside the notification for hearings, please speak to Noah margom If you have any queries about this,

05:08

I will now explain the purpose and conduct of this meeting. Open floor hearings are your opportunity to raise anything directly with the examining authority that is important and relevant and that you think we should know and consider they are not about a particular location or topic. The topic of your representation, about proposal, is therefore up to yourself.

05:31

I have a list with me of people that have registered an interest to speak today, as only a limited number of interested parties have registered their interest to speak. I don't propose to time limit any intervention today, but I would ask you to be as precise and concise as possible, and ideally within a 10 minute slot when I call your name, please turn your camera or microphone who are joining us virtually, and introduce yourself. And if you are representing someone whom it is that you are representing, if you are Teddy in person, when I call you, please introduce yourself as and when you come up to speak. And if you are representing someone whom it is that you are representing, I will then give 10 minutes at the end to the applicant to respond to all of the points made immediately, before I close the hearing,

06:26

as per previous hearings, all participants will be asked for a post hearing, written submission of their oral case as heard on this open floor hearing, the applicant will be given an opportunity to respond to any matters raised once all speakers have been

06:41

heard, either orally or in writing, by deadline for that's the 21st of November. Does anyone have any comments to make on what I have outlined?

06:52

So I will go through the list of all of those IPs, those interested persons that have actually expressed an interest to speak this morning. And if there is someone else, and we still have time, I might be able to ask if there are any other persons that wish to participate today, but that will be subject to time and power to control this hearing.

07:17

So I would like to first of all invite, I believe Miss Caroline Johnson that is joining us virtually.

07:29

Thank you. Can you hear me? We can hear you. Yes, please. So I'm Caroline Johnson. I'm Member of Parliament for Sleaford and North highcombe, or in which this proposal falls. Thank you for allowing me to join you today to the open floor hearing about the proposed beacon energy Park. I'd also like to declare that my husband is a farmer and agricultural contractor locally,

07:56

this application would span 1307

07:59

acres, equivalent to 740

08:02

football pitches within my constituency. This is nearly the size of Sleaford itself, with a population of almost 20,000 people, I have long campaigned against large scale solar applications within my constituency, with over 9000 acres of live NSIP solar applications locally, the cumulative impact is deeply concerning. While I support renewable energy, these industrial scale solar farms and productive farmland are not the right solution.

08:32

The majority of this site is classified as best and most versatile land, grades one, two and three A, with the remainder being grade 3b which is still very good farmland. Lincolnshire produces 30% of the UK's vegetables, and it consistently outperforms national and global averages in wheat yields. According to government's own figures, best and most versatile farmland represents a minority of farmland. It is the land we cannot afford to lose the updated NPS en three recommends that developers should try using poor quality land rather than high quality agricultural land, and should avoid using best and most versatile land where possible. In a written statement, the previous government offered guidance on the use of BMV land for solar farms. It explained how the competing priorities of solar and food production should be balanced clarifying the policies set out in the NPS.

09:28

Firstly, the starting position for developers should be to minimize the impact on best and most versatile land and use land in areas of poorer quality. There is a greater onus on developers to show that the use of higher quality land is necessary as the land grade increases, decision makers should give due weight to the proposed use of best and most versatile land when considering whether consent should be granted.

09:52

Previous government also added that decision makers should not only consider the impacts of individual process proposals, but also the cum.

10:00

To impact where several proposals come forward in the same locality as is. In this case,

10:06

the site alone could produce 4705

10:09

tons of wheat, enough for 3.2 million loaves of bread. This land could be out of use for up to 40 years, during which time, soil quality may degrade, threatening a long term food security of the country. And as I said, this proposal cannot be viewed in isolation. The nearby hackington fence solar park of 1300

10:30

acres was approved by this government in July 2024, just two miles away from this site,

10:38

additional NCIP projects, including the south Lincoln reservoir and other solar farms are also pending in North Steven the local burden is too high. My constituency is the second most affected by solar proposals, with 7% of the land under Application. Neighboring Newark and Gainsborough constituencies face similar pressures at 8.84 and 5% respectively.

11:01

This project would drastically alter the landscape around villages such as Howell, yerby, Thorpe, Annick, south, north and south kyme, kirkbula Thorpe and eveton, as well as the wider community, there were several listed buildings and scheduled monuments nearby, including St Andrews church in usgaby and Kyne tower in South kyme.

11:20

One rural home may be entirely surrounded by panels like the hole in a miserable glass donut many others would board the site, causing distress and anxiety visual impact, loss of biodiversity and potential drop in property values and immunity must be considered the panel heights up to 3.9 meters taller than the average elephant and more than twice the height of a person, they will dominate the landscape.

11:47

I'd ask the authority to consider the many local RAF bases within the vicinity of this application, including RAF Digby and RAF Cranwell.

11:56

The application causes concern, rather than Glint and glare from such a large application. Raf Digby is the headquarters of the joint cyber and electromagnetic activities group. Any interference of these defense estates would cause significant harm. In my opinion, the application should not be recommended for approval due to the potential interference. RAF bases local heritage, rural communities the sheer scale of the application and the cumulative effect and the impact on food security. And of course, there's an inefficiency to the scheme too. Solar is inefficient. This site is only expected to generate energy 16% of the time. And of course, in the UK, we use the greatest amount of energy when it's cold and dark. So with solar comes the need for additional storage, the Associated 600 megawatt Bess facility raises fire safety concerns. Lithium ion batteries are prone to thermal runaway, and there have been two recent Bess fires in the UK, Liverpool in 2020 and Essex in 2025 While individual batteries may have to adhere to product safety regulations. This does little to give reassurance to my constituents.

13:05

Most most large scale solar systems in the UK are ground mounted, 94 and a half percent with only five and a half percent on rooftops get 95 90% of respondents to my local survey preferred rooftop solar on industrial buildings. The UK has an estimated 600,000 acres of unused south facing industrial respace, which could be used instead.

13:29

It's also inefficient, in contrast to other energy generation too. Hinkley Point C will produce 26 tera Watts annually, power annually for 60 years on just 430 acres, a third the size of you can find, but 25 times more productive. A small modular reactor on two football pitches can power 1 million homes, while 2000 acres of solar panels just 50,000 so it's destroying local jobs, landscape and food production for inefficient energy, which is, in my opinion, vandalism of our environment,

14:03

I surveyed 1000s of households in the affected areas and received over 2000 handwritten responses. These were not simple online forms that could be clicked and submitted again and again. They were individual, thought out responses, many of which contained pages of heartfelt comments. 90% of respondents opposed the scale of the proposals. 68% were concerned about farmland loss, and 55% cited visual impact. Lincolnshire is the nation's bread basket producing 12% of the UK's food. My constituents understand the importance of backing our farmers. This is why the most common response from my survey was that we must protect our prime agricultural land in the interests of food security. I worry that any SIP applications such as beacon for an energy Park take out a level of local decision making, bypassing local authorities and local people. However, the responses to my survey and the local feeling on this issue speaks volumes, Net Zero.

15:00

Transition may be important, but unfortunately, the consequences of these applications signify a shift from small, unobtrusive solar farms on brownfield sites and poor quality land to massive industrial installations in completely the wrong places based on grid connection, I believe, in the strongest terms, this application should be rejected the

15:23

thank you very much. Ms Thompson, thank you.

15:34

I would can I just check

15:39

if we have

15:51

Nicholas Scott,

15:57

if you if, if that's comfortable for you, yes. Can I just ask Mrs. Scott, if you know how to work this the microphone,

16:08

you'll just have to press until the red light comes on, and then we will all be able to hear you clearly.

16:17

Okay? Thank you very much. Welcome. If I could ask you to just confirm your name and the organization that you are representing, if, if any. Thank you. So my name is Nicholas Scott. I'm the chairman of the yearby and eveton parish council. I live at yearby Thorpe. I farm at yearby Thorpe, and further away outside Boston as well, and I'm here today representing the parish council.

16:47

So European even parish council, which I'll call the Council for the nature of this have the following remarks which we make without prejudice.

16:56

We'd like to register for the record the council's objection to the proposed development as requested by our parishioners.

17:05

We've had zero opinions in support of this proposal. All comments we've received have been negative. I'd

17:12

like to just talk about the principal objections. I'd like to share with you some of the impacts we've already felt, and then I've got a bunch of questions for yourself as the examiner and for beacon Feng.

17:29

Firstly, on the scale, our parish is 1973

17:32

hectares of open countryside. The development solar arrays alone, just the arrays amount of 529

17:41

hectares, as Caroline just mentioned, 1307

17:44

acres. This is 27% of our entire parish will be covered by these

17:50

the scale and the loss of the countryside is an enormous, whole scale transformation of the parishes landscape.

17:58

The Council would we understand the need for renewable energies, and we would support a smaller scale development in the south eastern quarter of the proposed site, away from highways, footpaths and residential properties, which suggests this as a compromise

18:14

the location. It is a given that transforming the countryside from open farmland to industrial energy use is a detrimental transition for the locality.

18:25

We feel such site sites should be chosen where their impact is minimized, such as by shielding, by woodland or topography of the land. A good example nearby is 160 acre solar park at ermine street farm in Cromwell Sleaford. There's only one resident that can see this site, and that's the owner of the farmland.

18:46

There's very little screening of the applicant site, and the proposed screening will take over 15 years to establish and will not be effective against such tall installations the size of an elephant,

18:59

given the trees and hedges planted along the top of yerby wath road are 49 years old when my grandfather took over the farm tenancy there from the crown. My parents planted those trees in 1975

19:12

and they will not screen while they currently do not screen the proposed site from our property the

19:21

cumulative impact in the area. This project is just one of a gold rush which is making whole scale landscape changes. The landscape that we live in. The concentration of solar farms in the area is really overwhelming. Please, can the examiner collate a definitive list of these projects completed, already approved for construction and in the planning system, and then offer opinion as to what point we decide we are saturated.

19:49

The council opinion is that this point has already reached our immediate area, and in fact, our county

19:57

Caroline spoke about the South links.

20:00

Reservoir that we have in planning. We already have approved the East heckington site. There are myriad of smaller sites all around bickerfen. In addition, we have the Grimsby to Walpole overhead power lines. I'm dealing with Eastern Green Link three and four, which is bringing power down from Scotland. I have the Assign project which is coming across our farm, which is bringing wind power in from the North Sea. And we have the outer dowsing project as well.

20:29

We've just completed last year an awful battle with the trip Knoll pipeline, which came through our farm as well, and the Viking link one thank God missed us by one field. We really are just embattled and entrenched with all this type of activity,

20:47

the impact

20:50

the well being.

20:52

Already, there's been significant anguish and anxiety within the parish. We have been as a council, we've been approached by multiple households asking for help. With regard to this project,

21:04

the effect on the local residents has been very sad to witness. People describe sleepless nights, tears, anxiety, all this has been witnessed by myself and my councilors. The trauma and upset is very real and is happening the applicant has been silent on this topic. And I'd like to know if the applicant would like to help with this, and if so, how

21:32

individual residents lost the value of their primary asset. The cumulative depreciation of residential properties in the parish is without recompense.

21:42

How does the applicant propose to address this issue, and the issue of entrapment,

21:49

loss of agricultural land and agricultural heritage of the area, the loss of agricultural jobs in the rural supply chain from this and the cumulative effect of all the nearby projects is detrimental to our local heritage and agricultural economy.

22:07

The all party parliamentary group on science and technology and agriculture presented to Westminster on the third of November 2025

22:15

just 10 days ago, detailing the current the government's current plan to reduce farmland by 25% over the next 25 years, resulting in a loss of productivity of 32% or 39% per capita, counting for the increase of population.

22:33

The chair of the committee, George Freeman, MP, singled out solar farms on our productive land as being particularly detrimental police can the examiner review this report and its recommendations before making his conclusions to the Secretary of State

22:48

as proposed as the proposed site is on the top quartile of agricultural land in the UK.

22:57

That quartile is established by actual yields, irrespective of a arbitrary grade given to the land I farm next door to the farmer who owns that land, and he is a very good farmer and very proud of his yields. These aren't published anyway in the applicant's information that I can find. They're very good yields, and they're in the top quarter, if not above the UK national average.

23:24

Next, I'd like to ask a few questions of the applicant on a couple of issues, decommissioning bond, the parish council is not aware of any provision for the event of the site becoming redundant.

23:38

This may be through technology superseding solar power generation, administration of the owner of the site, flooding of the site, loss of insurance, protection and unforeseen events which, by their nature, are unforeseen.

23:50

How does the applicant envisage the site will be decommissioned? Who will be responsible for the cost of decommission? The Council is concerned that the parish will be left in perpetuity with an industrial wasteland in the event of the failure of the business operating the site.

24:07

Moving on to some more specific queries, we have traffic management. The whole road is noted as a relief for the local road network, which is not suitable for the project of such a scale,

24:21

how will the applicant police the use of this bespoke access and what are the consequences of not using the bespoke access

24:31

experience dictates that once planning is achieved by one party, the party that comes to build or operate the site will engage with contractors To build the project, who themselves will engage in subcontractors.

24:44

These persons are not involved in this stage of agreeing the terms, and will be inclined to use whatever access they they please. I have personal experience of this for an msips on our farm.

24:57

The onerous then falls on those affected to raise.

25:00

Is a complaint.

25:03

Council would like to propose an independent officer from the local community be employed by the project to monitor the traffic situation and receive and manage complaints from the residents and agree a framework for action on trans aggressions,

25:18

similarly for construction as above per traffic management, how will the applicant police working hours, noise, dust, pollution, mud on roads et al, what mechanism will they put in place for receiving feedback from the local residents, and what are the consequences of causing harm to the local residents? The Council would like to propose the amount the above mentioned independent community officer is similarly involved in this process too.

25:49

Screening. The site does not have adequate natural screening, screening from local housing, highways and byways. The site is, in fact, a plane held below the rise of yobi Thorpe

26:03

suggested, planting and screening is welcome, but it will be ineffective for 15 years or more, until they are established to the height of the panels they intended to screen.

26:13

Even then, they will be ineffective for six months of the year when the hedgerows are not in leaf,

26:19

as previously noted, the 49 year old trees already on the road do not achieve the screening for a four meter panel.

26:27

Given the above and the topography of the site, the council requests the applicant installs a 500 meter buffer zone between the solar rays and housing, highways and byways.

26:39

Finally, a community fund. There has been no discussion with the parish council about a community fund, whether one is being proposed, what level it will be, and how its mechanics would work.

26:51

Is the applicant offering a community fund? Will it be in line with nkdc solar energy benefit policy?

26:59

Thank you.

27:03

Thank you very much,

27:06

Scott.

27:10

Now I would like to call Brian Hammond, who

27:28

Good afternoon, everybody. I'm not going to keep you here very long. I just want to say a few words. My name is Brian Hammond. I'm chairman of how an Ascoli parish meeting,

27:45

I just want to say a few words about how my members feel about this.

27:53

Some of them have with worry and anguish and sleepless nights.

27:59

None of them felt well enough to attend here today. There might be some at home watching it online, but I have come here to say a few words on their behalf. We had a meeting about this a month ago in my house, and the total

28:18

the total

28:22

anger, anguish and concern about the whole thing. It was just, it was so painful to watch

28:30

how people have been affected by this. And when it was first proposed, there was, you know, we're going to fight this as hard as we can, but now they've given up, because there doesn't seem to be any consideration whatsoever for their well being, for their livelihoods, for their well being. About this project, nobody seems to give a damn.

28:56

Second thing I want to say is the whole project is way too big a scale with all these other projects locally, some of them been passed more in the planning. It's only this one is only one of many.

29:14

The whole of Lincolnshire is going to be peppered with these massive

29:19

constructions, which

29:22

I certainly don't want. I think it's a very inefficient way of making electricity.

29:28

The farmland,

29:31

I don't think will ever be returned to proper farmland when it undergoes all this construction process. I don't think it'll ever be returned to farmland

29:43

again. I would like to know more about how

29:48

the

29:50

decommissioning is going to take place, because I firmly believe that it will never be returned to farmland

29:59

and.

30:00

That's basically all I've got to say. Thank you Mr. Chair. Thank you Mr. Hammond. Thank you very much.

30:08

I don't have indication of anyone else registering an interest to speak to me today, but can I ask if there is anyone that would like to address the XA or the open floor hearing today, either in the room or online, please raise your hand if you do.

30:31

I don't see any hands raised online or in the room,

30:37

therefore, then I would like to give

30:42

an opportunity to the applicant to reply to some of the issues that we have heard today,

30:50

and if I could ask The applicant to address them briefly, please. Thank you. I

31:07

thank you, sir, your neck for the applicant. So as a starting point, we acknowledge the submissions that have been made by the parties. Here's Dan, thank them for taking the time to do so. I think a number of submissions that were made relate to masses that had already been put before the examination and their written evidence, both for the relevant representations and the written representations to which we responded in our relevant responses to those terms of the relevant representations that was rep 1029,

31:39

And the written representations, which is rep 2043,

31:44

in particular, and for your and their benefit for I think it's Dr Johnson, relevant representation, or written representation, sorry, which was rep 1038,

31:54

we responded to that in our rep 2043,

31:57

from E page 33, onwards. And I believe, provided responses to each of the matters raised in the substance. But to the extent there are any gaps, then I'll reflect on those. And we can, we can supplement in our in our subsequent response, similarly for Mr. Scott and the European eveton parish council to their relevant representation, which was as 023, which we also responded to in rep 1029,

32:24

that was a page 78, and again, I believe responses were provided to the majority of the matters raised there. I think, I think Mr. Scott did also raise queries around, in particular the construction traffic and the management of the bar, and also any other mitigation that we're bringing forward to address the construction. Impacts. And I would just refer to the collectively, the outline construction traffic management plan, which sets out the measures that we're proposing to manage the impacts on that and including in relation to the bar, which is the bespoke access road, those measures are set out in the outline construction traffic plan, which requires a detailed plan based on that to come forward prior to commencement, subject to approval by the relevant authority. And it's secured through requirement 13 of the draft eco and so we consider is subject to appropriate legal control and will be enforceable to the extent that there is any any breach or non compliance with similarly, in terms of the residual non traffic related construction impacts, there's an outline construction and environment management plan submitted as part of the application, which requires a further detailed plan to come forward that is secured through requirement 12 of the draft dsco and is also subject to the same approvals prior to commencement and will be enforceable, noticing also that any breach of a DCO is a criminal offense, and so has that appropriate level of rigor next to

33:49

it, in relation to the

33:52

decommissioning fund we've explained in previous submissions, including a response to the written representations, Why we don't think that is needed, in addition to the requirements that's already before the draft DCO don't have my document before me at the moment. We can put that. We can put that in writing subsequently, and also the consideration of the need for such a fund that has been considered in previous solar DCOs and determined is not being required by the Secretary of State in question. So we would suggest the same applies. In this instance, we can provide the further submissions in respect of that as would be helpful to yourself and to the interested parties who made such comments.

34:37

I don't think there is any other matter that we would wish to draw upon.

34:44

I'm trying to find the reference to where we've addressed the potential for any community benefit fund previously. I know we've heard that before the examination to offer assurance that it's not that we're not proposing, that there wouldn't be one, it's just there is residual.

35:00

Law policy uncertainty at the moment with government as to how that may or may not be required and come about. And we don't want to inadvertently sort of constrain or duplicate something that might become law anyway. So it's a feature of low carbon schemes that there is one and will be one. So I'll just pause briefly in case. Mr. Harker bonds is the project development director to my left wishes to my left wishes to add anything in addition to that, but otherwise, I think that's probably us. Thank you. Mr. Harter,

35:29

I don't think there's anything to add. Mr. Harley bond, for the applicant,

35:33

my colleague has summarized that fairly well.

35:37

Thank you, Mr. Hartley bond, thank you. Mr. Mac also, because you have mentioned several of the issues that were raised today by the participants in the open floor hearing. Also

35:52

have because I have not actually picked up the applicant's consideration of this specific issue on previous documents,

36:01

but in terms of the community liaison officer,

36:06

in addition to the funding, obviously you have covered the funding, but was that part of your consideration, of the applicant's consideration?

36:16

So I'm afraid I don't have that information to hand I know insofar as the the the subject to which I believe, or I understood, that the liaison officer is referenced. And so in relation to the construction traffic and the management of construction impact, more generally, within the construction environment management plan, we consider the measures set out within those documents secure what needs to be secured in terms of the mitigation that's been identified, however we heard the points. And so if, if that specific point hasn't been addressed in our in our submissions to date, and I'll make sure it is in our responses to these oral submissions today. Thank you very much. Right. Can I ask if there is anyone else that would like to make any comments on today's hearing? Please raise your hands in the room or virtually online.

37:10

I don't see any hands raised, so I assume that no one else would like to make any comments. So thank you all very much for contributing to today's meeting. I have found it very useful and will consider all submissions made carefully. Can I also remind you to submit your post hearing submissions, including written submissions of your oral cases, by deadline four, that's on 21st of November.

37:36

Before I go, I would also like to remind everyone that we do have a hearing scheduled for tomorrow that will be issue specific hearing two.

37:50

And then we have another hearing scheduled for Thursday, which be issue specific hearing three. Issue specific hearing two will be covering the battery, energy storage system, landscape and visual impacts, Historic Environment, biodiversity and ecology and issue specific hearing three on Thursday will be development consent order, land use, water, environment and flood risk and cumulative effects. This is in line with what was published as notification of in notification of hearings

38:24

Eddie, the time is now 20 to four, and this open floor hearing two for the beacon, fan energy Park is now closed. Thank you very much.